**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

–

**WRIT PETITION No.2057 of 2006**

–

**DATED: 03-02-2006**

Between:

S.Ramadasu and others . . .Petitioners

And

The Govt. of AP, Tribal Welfare Dept.,

Hyderabad and others . . .Respondents

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

–

**WRIT PETITION No.2057 of 2006**

–

**O R D E R:**

The third respondent initiated proceedings against the father of the petitioners under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Schedule Areas Land Transfer Regulation 1 of 1959 as amended by 1 of 1970, in LTRP.No.90 of 2003, in respect of Ac.14-58 cents of land in Survey No.151-3 in Pidathamamidi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, East Godavari District. Through orders, dated 27-01-2004, the third respondent directed resumption of the land to the Government for onward distribution to the tribals. Aggrived thereby, the petitioners filed

CMA.No.61 of 2005 before the second respondent. The said CMA was dismissed on 29-10-2005. The petitioners filed revision before the first respondent together with an application for stay, on 21-01-2006. Their grievance is that no orders have been passed by the first respondent on the application for stay so far, and they complain that the fifth respondent is taking steps to dispossess them from the land, in the meanwhile. They seek appropriate directions in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader for Social Welfare.

The petitioners availed the remedy of revision, as provided for under the relevant regulations. If they are evicted from the land, even while the revision is pending, virtually nothing remains to be decided in the revision. The interests of the petitioners are to be protected, till the revision is disposed of.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing that the order of eviction passed against the petitioner in LTRP.No.90 of 2003 on the file of the third respondent, as affirmed by the second respondent in CMA.No.61 of 2005, shall remain suspended, till the disposal of the revision by the first respondent. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

3rd FEBRUARY, 2006.

***kvni***